

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,662	07/29/2003	Luciano Nedbal	114208-016	6394
24573	7590 07/07/2004		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			SAKRAN, VICTOR N	
PO BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			3677	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ac			
	10/629,662	NEDBAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	VICTOR N SAKRA	N 3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, howeve . reply within the statutory minim riod will apply and will expire SIX atule, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. icome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 July 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ ⁻	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from considerati	on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the d	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		_				
application from the International Bur	• •	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copi	es not received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/29/03 & 1/2/04.	(08) 5) 🗌 No	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040629	-			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-11, 18 and 19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudson U. S. Patent No. 6,533,335 in view of European Patent No.1,088,490 to Matsushima et al (cited by Applicant) and Albanese et al U. S. Patent No. 3,409,322.

Hudson discloses the general combination claimed of a zipper pull comprising a slider body (15) which is fixed to respective portions of an article (12) for

selectively engaging and disengaging opposed engaging elements by operating said zipper pull, a pull tab (16) movably connected to said slider body (15), and a cover member (22) hingedly connected to the pull tab, said cover defining a receptacle (20) having guide means (slot) for receiving and holding said pull tab therein, wherein said receptacle is attached at one end thereof to the pull tab (16) and is movable between a first locking position in which the pull tab (16) is concealed within said cover and a second open position in which the pull tab is revealed out of said cover, said cover member (22) is further provided with an end portion (44) axially extending with respect to the pull tab as a closure device for said zipper pull; see Figures 2-4, 8-10; the abstract; column 1, lines 65-67; column 2, lines 4-9, 43-45; column 3, and lines 5-8, except that the reference to Hudson does not show a cover having a convex outer surface and a concave inner surface and said cover made of a soft material. Matsushima et al teaches the use of a similar zipper pull device assembly including a cover (5) defining a substantially convex outer surface and a concave inner surface, and the use of soft material such as rubber; see Figures 1, 4; column 8, lines 28-31; column 12, lines 46-53. Albanese et al teaches the use of cover (20) having a convex outer surface and a concave inner surface in a zipper fastener; see Figures 1, 3, 6, and column 2, lines 1-10. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the outer and inner surfaces of the cover (22) in Hudson by merely forming an inner concave surface and an outer convex surface and further forming its cover from a soft material such as

rubber in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Matsushima et al and Albanese et al; especially, since such modification involves only routine skill in the art.

Furthermore, the particular shape of the of the cover member along with the various elements is considered to be no more than a matter of design choice obvious to one having ordinary skill within the art at the time the invention was made, especially, since it has been held that the particular change in shape of an element in a prior art device is such a change considered no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within in the art. See In Re Dailey, 357 F. 2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1954).

Moreover, the particular location and/or the arrangement selected of an elements is also considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within the art, especially, since it has been held that rearranging pa an invention is involves only routine skill in the art. See In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

As to the particular type of material used and to form the cover member is considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice within the skill

in the art, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. See In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 12-17, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the art cited herein, and of record, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 29, 2004

VICTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677